

News stories on TV and in newspapers are very often accompanied by pictures. Some people say that these pictures are more effective than words.

What is your opinion about this?

Nowadays we see a lot of photos in newspapers and the media that ~~they~~ are part of the main story and could impress the audience. In my opinion people usually get more effect from these photographs than the text or news they read or hear, but ~~this~~ these pictures could ~~make~~ create some issues too.

Pictures could have some superiority to transfer meaning over texts. Firstly, a photo includes s a lot of details that might be impossible to write all of them in a text, or maybe some details are not important for a journalist or reporter to mention, but they could affect others. For example, in a war's report, journalists s usually report only about important war's events s, but a picture could show the situation of the area that may not be mentioned in the news. Secondly, some emotions can't be said in words so it could be necessary to show a picture to let the audience feel the situation. Finally, people may get different meanings s from the description of a picture compared to ~~than~~ seeing it.

Furthermore, many people don't believe the news so pictures could be ~~an~~ a piece of evidence for them to prove that it is a true story. Usually, people accept something more easily if they ~~saw~~ see it rather than only hear about it.

On the other hand, Photos may cause some issues; r they may show some places s or people that are classified, or including an irritant scene that could be unpleasant for sensitive people, or have unwanted negative or positive effects on other people or places that aren't the main topic of news, but they are in picture.

In conclusion, I believe that using pictures in newspapers s or TV's news could have many advantages and may make news more effective, although there ~~is~~ are some drawbacks in it.